

Gamma-ray bursts as X-ray depth-gauges of the Universe

P. Mészáros¹ and M.J. Rees²

¹Dpt.Astron. & Astrophysics, Dpt. Physics, Pennsylvania State Univ., University Park, PA 16803

²Institute of Astronomy, University of Cambridge, Madingley Road, Cambridge CB3 0HA, U.K.

ABSTRACT

We discuss the X-ray flux of gamma-ray burst afterglows at redshifts in the range 3-30, including the effects of the intergalactic He II absorption. We point out that strong X-ray lines may form locally in burst afterglows starting minutes after the trigger. This can provide distinctive X-ray distance indicators out to the redshifts where the first generation of massive stars form.

Subject headings: Line: identification - Gamma-rays: Bursts - X-rays: stars - Cosmology: Miscellaneous

1. Introduction

The first generation of stars in hierarchical cosmological models are expected to be metal-free and very massive (e.g. Abel *et al.* 2002, Bromm *et al.* 2002). They evolve through a core collapse to form black holes of $M \sim 30 - 100 M_{\odot}$ (Woosley, Zhang & Heger 2003). These can produce, through accretion, a hard ionizing spectrum extending at least to soft X-rays. They may also lead to a first generation of 'collapsar' gamma-ray bursts (GRB), which would be about one order of magnitude more massive and luminous than their $z \sim 1 - 4$ counterparts (Schneider, Guetta & Ferrara, 2002), with spectra extending beyond MeV energies. Spergel, *et al.* (2003) use WMAP polarization data to infer the post-reionization optical depth to electron scattering, and deduce a reionization redshift of $z \sim 17 \pm 5$. This deduction assumes that at lower redshifts the ionization is complete: if ionization happened gradually, then the heat input would have to start at an even higher redshift to provide the equivalent optical depth.

Based on observations of the most distant quasars (e.g. Fan *et al.*, 2001), below $z \sim 6.4$ the continuous Gunn-Peterson trough is replaced by partial blanketing of the continuum by the Lyman α forest. He II may play a significant role in determining the IGM opacity in the soft-X-ray range at $z \lesssim 6$ (Miralda-Escudé, 2000). The IR/optical/UV spectra of the first stars (Loeb & Barkana 2002) and/or distant long GRB afterglows (Lamb & Reichart, 2000, Ciardi & Loeb 2001) can

provide redshift markers through their HI Ly α cutoff. For short GRB, however, optical afterglows may be weak or absent (Panaitescu *et al.* 2001). Hence, X-ray band redshift markers would be most useful, especially at $z \gtrsim 6$, where pop. III objects and their remnants may dominate the ionizing output.

Here we discuss the role of the He II absorption, which, over most of the volume of the high redshift IGM, is the major determinant of the optical depth bluewards of 54.4/(1 + z) eV. The He II opacity becomes negligible at energies above ~ 0.2 keV, allowing the X-ray spectrum of high redshift sources to become observable in principle. The Fe group K α energy is in this range for objects at $z \lesssim 30$. These metals, while absent through most of the IGM at such redshifts, should be abundant in patches immediately surrounding pop. III objects, as well as in GRB. Other lines may also be useful at lower redshifts. Here we discuss the role of the Fe-group K α X-ray lines as redshift markers in the range $z \sim 3 - 30$, including the first generation of GRB.

2. IGM Opacities and GRB X-ray Fluxes

At $z \gtrsim 6.4$ the IGM appears to acquire an increasing fraction of neutral gas (Fan, *et al.*, 2001), with the first protogalaxies and quasars presumably forming at $z \sim 6 - 9$. The cosmological parameters derived from WMAP results are $\Omega_m = 0.29, \Omega_\Lambda = 0.71, \Omega_b = 0.047, h = 0.68$, with a lower limit on the reionization redshift $z_i \sim 17 \pm 5$ (Spergel, *et al.*, 2003) which may signal the first generation of stars. Bluewards of the HI Lyman limit the opacity drops with photon energy as E^{-3} . Helium is likely to be in its hydrogenic form, He II. At the energy 54.4 eV of the He II ionization edge the cross section is a factor $Z^4 = 16$ times higher than that of the H I bound-free cross section, and for a $\sim 10\%$ He abundance relative to H, the He II opacity will be at least a factor 1.6 more important than that of H I, and continues to dominate at energies above that. Its influence should be even stronger, since He recombines at a rate 5.5 faster than H, provided the source spectra are not too hard and fewer photons are emitted at the He II ionization energy. The dominant sources at $z \sim 3$ are likely to satisfy this, so the far UV opacity is dominated by He II. Miralda-Escudé (2001) estimates this optical depth as $\tau_{0,HeII} \simeq 1.7 \times 10^3 (\Omega_b h Y / 0.007) [H_o (1 + z)^{3/2} / H(z)] [(1 + z)/4]^{3/2}$, where $H(z)$ is the Hubble expansion. Using the above parameters we obtain for the HeII edge optical depth at $z_c \sim 3$

$$\tau_{0,HeII} \simeq 4.3 \times 10^3 \left(\frac{\Omega_b h Y}{0.007} \right) \left(\frac{1 + z_c}{4} \right)^{3/2} \quad (1)$$

Above the edge the cross section is $\propto E^{-3}$ and the opacity becomes less than unity above

$$E_t \sim 0.22 \left(\frac{\Omega_b h Y}{0.007} \right)^{1/3} \left(\frac{1 + z_c}{4} \right)^{-1/2} \text{ keV.} \quad (2)$$

which is the “thining” photon energy observed at $z = 0$ for which the IGM becomes transparent at the redshift z_c . Absorption in our galaxy can be comparable to that of intergalactic He II

(Miralda-Escud, 2000), the energy (2) corresponding to a galactic column density $\sim 2 \times 10^{20}$ cm^{-2} typical of moderately high latitudes. Above this energy the intergalactic H opacity is also negligible, and X-ray sources at redshifts $z \gtrsim z_c \sim 3$ become observable.

The initial GRB luminosity is $L_{x,0} = 10^{50} L_{50}$ erg/s, or $L_{E'} \sim 10^{49} (t'/t'_i)^b E'^a_{\text{keV}}$ erg/s/keV (0.2–10 keV), where $t'_i \sim 10$ s is the time after trigger when the power law decay starts, $a \simeq 0.7$ is energy spectral index, $b_1 \sim 1.1$ and $b_2 \simeq 2$ are energy flux time decay indices before and after the lightcurve steepens at $t'_{br} \simeq 0.5$ day, primed quantities being in the source frame and unprimed in the observer frame. The observer frame energy flux of a source at z at photon energy E and time t for a simple power law decay is $F_E(z, t) = [L_{E,0}/4\pi D_l(z)^2 (1+z)^{-1-a}] [(t/t_i)^{b_1}/(1+z)^{b_1}]$ erg $\text{cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-2}\text{keV}^{-1}$, while for a broken double power law in time it is

$$F_E(z, t) = \frac{L_{E,0}(t_{br}/t_i)^{b_1}(t/t_{br})^{b_2}}{4\pi D_l(z)^2(1+z)^{-1-a}} \left[\frac{(t/t_{br})^{b_1-b_2}}{(1+z)^{b_1}} H\left(\frac{1+z}{t/t_{br}}\right) + \frac{1}{(1+z)^{b_2}} H\left(\frac{t/t_{br}}{1+z}\right) \right] \text{ erg cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-2}\text{keV}^{-1}, \quad (3)$$

where $H(x)$ is the step function, $t_i = \min[t, 10(1+z)]$ s and $t_{br} = 5 \times 10^4(1+z)$ s are nominal values of the observer-frame decay initiation time and the break time, and D_l is luminosity distance, using $\Omega_{tot} = 1$, $\Omega_m = 0.3$, $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.7$. The observed X-ray flux of a nominal GRB afterglow at different observer times t and redshifts z derived from (3) are shown in Table 1.

3. GRB X-ray Lines

The strongest lines expected are from Fe $K\alpha$ at $E \sim 6.7/(1+z)$ keV, or possibly the nearby Ni, Co lines. Their detection requires high fluxes, which at high redshifts favors the earlier source times. Of the five Fe lines in GRB reported from Beppo-SAX or Chandra, four were in emission at observer times $t \sim 0.5 - 1$ day, and one was in absorption at $t \sim 10 - 20$ s (e.g. Piro 2002). Lower Z metal lines were reported at $t \sim 8 - 12$ hours with XMM (Reeves *et al.*, 2002a; Watson *et al.* 2002) and Chandra (Butler, *et al.*, 2003). The most common interpretation of the Fe lines is based on photoionized models. The absence so far of line detections at times between tens of seconds and ~ 8 hours may in principle be due to current instrumental limitations on the time needed for slewing a narrow field X-ray detector. The Swift mission (Gehrels 2003), due for launch in December 2003, will have fast slewing capability ($\lesssim 1$ minute after trigger), and besides a wide field gamma-ray detector it is equipped with narrow field X-ray and optical detectors, both capable of moderate resolution spectroscopy. Thus, if strong enough lines are produced at minutes to hours, up to $\sim 100/\text{year}$ might be detected. Line production is facilitated by a high gas density in the neighborhood of the GRB. In photoionized models, Fe line formation requires ionization parameters $\xi = L/nr^2 \sim 10^3$. This is easiest to satisfy, even at early times $\gtrsim$ minutes, in “local” models involving radii comparable to those of the progenitor stellar envelope.

Stellar funnel models: In these local models the lines involve an envelope at $r \sim 10^{12} - 10^{13}$ cm typical of giants (also for WR or He stars, this radius is reached $10^3 - 10^4$ s after envelope expansion

starts). The density is much higher than in supernova shells. The ionization could be due to a long-lasting jet (Rees & Mészáros, 2000) or bubble (Mészáros & Rees 2001), producing an X-ray continuum from shock accelerated electrons. X-ray absorption lines can arise while there is gas in front of the jet, and after it is swept away the continuum from the jet on the sides of the funnel produces an emission reflection spectrum. The funnel wall gas density in pressure equilibrium with the jet is $n_{e,*} \sim 10^{21} \alpha L_{50} r_{13}^{-2} T_7^{-1} \text{cm}^{-3}$, and the ionization parameter is $\xi = 10^3 \alpha^{-1} \beta T_7$, where α is a geometrical factor and β is ratio of ionizing to total luminosity. The recombination time of a hydrogenic ion of charge $Z = 26$ is $t_{rec,Z} \sim 2 \times 10^{-10} \alpha^{-1} L_{50}^{-1} r_{13}^2 T_7^{3/2} Z_{26}^{-2} \text{s}$. Balancing the number of ionizations $\beta L / 4\pi r^2 \epsilon_i$, where $\epsilon_i \sim 10 \text{ keV}$, with the number of recombinations $\delta n_e x_Z d_i / t_{rec,Z}$, where x_Z is ion abundance ratio relative to H and δ allows for recombination to other ions, the depth from which recombination photons escape is $d_i \sim (\beta / \delta \alpha^2) L_{50}^{-1} T_7^{3/2} r_{13}^2 Z_{26}^{-2} x_Z^{-1} \text{cm}$, and its Thomson scattering depth is

$$\tau_i \sim n_e \sigma_T d_i \sim 6 \times 10^{-4} (\beta / \delta \alpha) T_7^{1/2} Z_{26}^{-2} X_Z^{-1}, \quad (4)$$

which is < 1 for an ion abundance ratio $x_Z > 6 \times 10^{-4} (\beta / \alpha \delta) T_7^{1/2} Z_{26}^{-2}$. The solar Fe abundance ratio is 4×10^{-5} , so an enrichment of $\gtrsim 10$ above solar is needed for line photons to escape unscattered from d_i , which can arise from metals dragged in the jet and deposited in the walls. Detailed radiative transfer calculations (Kallman, Mészáros & Rees, 2003) for the shallow incidence angles of stellar funnel geometries and Fe abundances 30-100 times above solar yield line equivalent widths $\sim 0.5 - 1.0 \text{ keV}$. A difference in beaming of the line and continuum can be incorporated in the geometrical factor α , which affects the equivalent width. However, line photon reflections in the funnel (McLaughlin, Wijers, Brown & Bethe 2002) would not only lead Ni or Co photons to mimic Fe line photons, but would also tend to equalize the beaming factors of line and continuum. Note that the ionization parameter ξ and the condition (4) for line photon emergence are independent of the luminosity (hence of the time) and the stellar radius.

Jets with blobs: X-ray lines can also be produced locally, inside the stellar funnel, by jets with blobs of entrained metal-rich material. The blobs will be initially subrelativistic, $\Gamma_b \sim 1$, with an equilibrium particle density $n_b \sim 10^{21} L_{50} r_{13}^{-2} T_7^{-1} \Gamma_b^{-2} \text{cm}^{-3}$, and an ionization parameter $\xi \sim L / n_b r^2 = 10^3 T_7 \Gamma_b^2$. If the smoothed-out blob particle density is a fraction ζ of the jet density in the blob frame, $\bar{n}_b = \zeta n'_j (\Gamma_j / \Gamma_b)$, where $n'_j \sim 10^{10} L_{50} r_{13}^{-2} \Gamma_{j2.5}^{-2} \text{cm}^{-3}$ and $\Gamma_j = 300 \Gamma_{j2.5}$ is the jet Lorentz factor, the blob volume filling factor is $f_v = \bar{n}_b / n_b = 3 \times 10^{-9} \zeta \Gamma_{j2.5}^{-1} \Gamma_b T_7$, the radius or smallest dimension of a blob is $r_b \sim (r / \Gamma_b) f_v / f_s$, where f_s is the blob surface coverage factor (Mészáros & Rees 1998). The Thomson depth of a blob is $\tau_{Tb} \sim 20 \zeta L_{50} r_{13}^{-1} f_s^{-1} \Gamma_b^{-2}$, and at most a fraction $1 / \tau_{Tb}$ of the ions undergo ionizations and recombinations contributing to the blob acceleration. The recombination time is $t_{rec} \sim 4 \times 10^{-10} L_{50}^{-1} r_{13}^2 T_7^{3/2} Z_{26}^{-2} \Gamma_b^2 \text{s}$. A limit on the ratio between the time for accelerating a blob to $v \sim 0.1c$ and the stellar crossing time is

$$t_{ac} / t_{cr} \simeq t_{rec} (c / R_*) 56 A_{56} m_p 10^{-1} c \tau_{Tb} \sim 5 \times 10^{-3} \zeta f_s^{-1} T_7^{3/2} Z_{26}^{-2} A_{56} \Gamma_{j2.5}^{-1}. \quad (5)$$

Another limit would apply after the blobs have accelerated and the density drops to the point

where Thomson scattering becomes the main contributor to the acceleration, leading to

$$t_{ac}/t_{cr} \sim 10^{-1}(R_*/r_s)(L/L_{Ed})^{-1}\tau_{Tb} \sim 10^{-4}\zeta f_s^{-1}\Gamma_{j2.5}^{-1}, \quad (6)$$

where r_s is Schwarzschild radius and L_{Ed} is Eddington luminosity. After becoming relativistic the blobs no longer contribute to the reprocessing, due to their lower density and higher ionization parameter, and their smoothed-out Thomson depth is at most comparable to that of the jet. E.g., a mist of blobs with $\zeta \sim 1$, $f_s \sim 1/3$ and $T \sim 3 \times 10^7$ has $t_{ac}/t_{cr} \sim 0.07$, and reprocesses the photoionizing continuum into narrow lines ($v/c \lesssim 0.1$) with a line to continuum ratio $\lesssim 0.1$.

Transparency of local X-ray line models: To observe unbroadened lines from the funnel walls or entrained blobs requires the jet to be optically thin to both electron scattering and pair production. A jet of isotropic equivalent luminosity $L_{j,0} \sim 10^{50} L_{j,50}$ erg/s and Lorentz factor $\Gamma_j = 300 \Gamma_{j2.5}$ has a lab-frame proton density $n_p \sim 3 \times 10^{12} L_{50} r_{13}^{-2} \Gamma_{j2.5}^{-2} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ at $r = 10^{13} r_{13}$ cm. The scattering optical depths transverse and parallel to the jet axis are

$$\tau_{\perp}, \tau_{\parallel} \sim 2 L_{50} r_{13}^{-1} \Gamma_{j2.5}^{-1} \theta_{-1}, \quad 2 \times 10^{-4} L_{50} r_{13}^{-1} \Gamma_{j2.5}^{-3}, \quad (7)$$

where $\theta = 10^{-1} \theta_{-1}$ is the jet opening angle. The internal shocks responsible for the γ -rays occur at radii $r_{sh,i} \sim 2ct_{var}\Gamma_j^2 \gtrsim 10^{13}$ cm for variability timescale $t_{var} \gtrsim 3r_{13}\Gamma_{j2.5}^{-2}$ ms. Hence the jet electrons and thermal photons are cold in the comoving jet-frame. The initial temperature at $r_o = 10^7$ cm is $T_o \sim 0.5 L_{50}^{1/4} r_{o,7}^{-1/2}$ MeV. For $T = T_o(r/r_o\Gamma_j)^{-2/3}$ (Mészáros & Rees, 2000), at 10^{13} cm the thermal jet photon energy is $\epsilon_{\gamma,j} \sim 3 L_{50}^{1/4} r_{o,7}^{1/6} \Gamma_{j2.5}^{2/3} r_{13}^{-2/3}$ keV. The corresponding photon density near the spectral peak is $n_{\gamma,j} \sim 3 \times 10^{20} L_{50}^{3/4} r_{13}^{-4/3} r_{o,7}^{-1/6} \Gamma_{j2.5}^{-2/3} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ in the lab. The jet photons interact with X-ray photons reflected or generated by shocks at the funnel walls of energy $\epsilon_{*,ref} \gtrsim 1 L_{50}^{1/4} r_{13}^{-2}$ keV, and with wall thermal photons of $\lesssim 0.1$ keV. Jet photons which produce $e^{\pm}$ via $\gamma\gamma$ must have an energy $\epsilon_{j,thr} \gtrsim (m_e c^2)^2 / \epsilon_{*,ref} \sim 250$ MeV. For a purely blackbody jet spectrum the number of photons at this energy is exponentially small. For a comptonized tail above the spectral peak, assuming the flattest plausible slope $n_{\gamma} \propto \epsilon^{-2}$ we have at threshold a jet photon density $n_{j,thr} \sim 10^{10} L_{50}^{7/4} r_{13}^{-20/3} r_{o,7}^{1/6} \Gamma_{j2.5}^{2/3} \text{ cm}^{-3}$, and the $\gamma\gamma$ optical depth is

$$\tau_{\gamma\gamma} \lesssim 10^{-2} L_{50}^{7/4} r_{13}^{-17/3} \theta_{-1} r_{o,7}^{1/6} \Gamma_{j2.5}^{2/3}. \quad (8)$$

The opacities (7) and (8) are upper limits for the initial jet values, which would drop in time. Hence already at early times the jet is transparent to X-ray line photons. From the above scalings, such lines can escape unbroadened even at early times of minutes, when $L_x \sim 10^{50}$ erg/s, and extending to $t \gtrsim$ day.

Supernova model: This non-local scenario for X-ray line formation invokes a supernova which occurs $\sim$ months before the GRB (Vietri & Stella, 1999, Lazzati, *et al.* 1999, Weth, *et al.*, 2000, Vietri *et al.*, 2001). It attributes the X-ray lines starting at ~ 1 day to the geometrical light-travel time to a SN shell at $\gtrsim 10^{16}$ cm. Recent data on GRB030329 (Henden, *et al.* 2003; Stanek, *et al.* 2003) indicate that a supernova occurred within $\sim 0 - 2$ days of the GRB, not enough for a

shell to reach $\sim 10^{16}$ cm. The supranova geometry is not conducive to emission lines at early (minutes-hours) times, although absorption lines might in principle be expected early. However, for significant equivalent widths, especially in emission, the shell must be extremely clumpy to have sufficiently short recombination times. At $z \gtrsim 6$ one would require earlier source times and higher incident luminosities than at low redshifts, and therefore even more extreme clumpiness factors, and smaller coverage factors. Radiative transfer calculations (Kallman, *et al.*, 2003) indicate that large equivalent widths are favored by shallow incidence angles, which are not generally expected in supernova shells, while being a natural feature of the “local” stellar funnels above. In any case, the large continuum X-ray fluxes of equation (3) and Table 1 are independent of the specific line mechanism, the requirement for detecting lines at high z being a mechanism which produces high equivalent widths, preferably at early (minutes to hours) source times. There is one early detection report 10 s after a GRB trigger, in absorption (Amati, *et al.*, 2001), which is unusual, since so far sensitive X-ray measurements were started only several hours after the trigger.

High redshift X-ray fluxes and lines: Pop. III stellar masses are larger and core temperatures are higher than in lower redshift stars, but the external envelope radii may be comparable. The core collapse of pop. III objects would lead to a more massive black hole and could produce higher luminosity GRB (Schneider *et al.*, 2002). However funnel metal enrichment and X-ray line reprocessing would occur in the same way as for low redshift GRB, with similar equivalent widths. In Table 1 the pop. III GRB might appear at $z \gtrsim 6.5$, and it is likely that for these the scaling $L_{x,50}$ used for the initial continuum X-ray fluxes is an underestimate, which may need to be increased by a factor $\gtrsim 10 - 30$. The fourth column of table 1 gives the Fe K α line energies in the observer frame, for each redshift. The Ni and Co line energies would be within $\sim 10\%$ of these. At $z = 30$ the lines appear at the threshold where the He II optical thickness reaches unity, and for $z \lesssim 20$ they are well within the regime where the continuum is unabsorbed by the IGM.

In some bursts (Reeves *et al.*, 2002; c.f Rutledge & Sako 2003; Watson *et al.*, 2002, Butler *et al.*, 2003) Si, S, Mg etc lines are reported, but not Fe. This may be due to a steeper photoionizing continuum (Lazzati, Ramirez-Ruiz & Rees, 2002). Such lower Z metal lines have generally lower strength and several closely grouped lines are expected together.

4. Discussion

Studies of the first structures and the intergalactic medium at $z \gtrsim 6$ require very bright sources with good distance indicators. Above these redshifts quasars are extremely rare, and the most numerous luminous sources expected are protogalaxies, intermediate mass accreting black holes and supernovae, as well as GRB. The latter are an outcome of massive stellar evolution, which is likely to precede galaxy formation and could have started at redshifts as high as $z \sim 10 - 30$ (e.g. Bromm & Loeb, 2002). Within the first hours in its rest frame ($\sim$ day in observer frame), the GRB afterglow brightness exceeds that of the most luminous AGNs or any other quasi-steady source. This is particularly interesting in the X-ray range, where the He II

Gunn-Peterson absorption is unimportant at energies $\gtrsim 0.2$ keV for sources at $z \gtrsim 4$. With space missions such as Swift, pointed X-ray observations started within minutes can achieve detections out to $z \sim 10 - 30$ in integration times of hours, if GRB occur at those redshifts.

Here we have discussed the possibility of measuring GRB redshifts in the range $z \gtrsim 3 - 30$ using Fe group $K\alpha$ lines or edges. These lines may form at early (minutes to days) times, independently of whether a supernova shell is produced. The observed line energies shown in Table 1 are well above the IGM He II opacity cut-off for redshifts $\gtrsim 20$. Lines from lower Z metals can also be used, which fall below the He II cutoff at lower redshifts than Fe, e.g., S XVI $K\alpha$ at $\sim 2.6/(1+z)$ is useful only out to $z \lesssim 11$. X-ray redshift measurements are especially interesting, since for detecting H Ly α cut-offs in faint distant objects NIR spectroscopy is necessary, mostly relying on large area ground telescopes subject to observing conditions and scheduling.

For GRB similar to those observed at $z \lesssim 4$ the nominal X-ray fluxes (Table 1) at $z \lesssim 12$ are bright enough for low resolution spectroscopy with Swift for $t \lesssim 10^3 - 10^4$ s, and easily detectable at least for $t \gtrsim 10^5$ s. Because of the increasing K-corrections the fluxes do not decrease much with redshift beyond $z \sim 4 - 5$ and saturate or turn up near their values for $z \sim 8 - 12$. For such nominal GRB, the fraction of the total number expected at $z \gtrsim 5$ is $\gtrsim 50\%$, but only $\sim 15\%$ may be detectable in flux-limited surveys, e.g. with Swift (Bromm & Loeb 2002). However, at $z \gtrsim 6 - 10$ the first generation of (pop. III) stars is likely to be very massive, the resulting black holes from core collapse could have masses $10 - 30 M_\odot$, and if these lead to GRB, their luminosities could be significantly higher than at low redshifts, with factors $L_{E,0}$ in equations (3) which could be 10-30 times higher than assumed in Table 1. In this case, the fraction at $z \gtrsim 5$ detectable in Swift’s flux-limited survey could be $\gtrsim 20 - 30\%$ of the total, or $\gtrsim 20/\text{year}$.

With Chandra or XMM, grating spectroscopic observations of X-ray lines with rest-frame equivalent widths ~ 0.5 keV (as reported in nearby bursts) appear possible out to at least $z \gtrsim 12$. For instance, for the “nominal” GRB of Table 1 of initial $L_{x,0} = 10^{50}$ erg/s, with a line at $E_{obs} \sim 0.5$ keV of equivalent width $EW_{obs} = EW_{rest}/(1+z) \sim 0.04$ keV, observed at $t_{obs} = 10^5$ s and $z = 12$, a simulation with the XMM epic and XSPEC software (N. Brandt, private communication) with an integration time 10^5 s gives a fit for a power law spectrum plus a Gaussian line of $\chi^2 = 682$ for 679 degrees of freedom, while a power law fit without the line gives $\chi^2 = 642$ for 676 degrees of freedom, corresponding to a line detection probability $\gtrsim 99.9\%$. A K-edge might be expected at $\sim (4/3)E_{K\alpha}$, which could further improve the detection probability. For an initial $L_{x,0} = 10^{51}$ erg/s, the fits should improve, and may extend to higher redshifts. If no O/IR redshifts are available, the presence of just one feature (or at most two, in the energy ratio 1:4/3) in the range 0.2-1 keV would strongly suggest that these are due to Fe-group elements, constraining the redshift to $\sim \pm 10\%$. Confusion with S, Si, Mg etc can be avoided, since these would appear as multiple lines, possibly with Fe lines at energies a factor ~ 2.5 higher. An isolated line or edge from Fe or Fe-group elements (§3) can be expected in GRB resulting from massive stellar collapses, where these spectral features arise in the dense outer envelope of the progenitor. If pre-GRB supernova shells exist and if they generate similar equivalent widths, the

same detection probabilities would apply. With an expected GRB detection rate of 100-150/year by Swift and onboard X-ray follow-up capabilities starting minutes after the trigger, significant progress may be possible in the investigation of the first stars and the high redshift universe.

This research has been supported through NASA NAG5-9192, NAG5-9153 and the Royal Society. We are grateful to L-J Gou, T. Abel, N. Brandt and D. Lazzati for useful discussions, and to the referee for valuable comments.

REFERENCES

- Abel, T, Bryan, G & Norman, M, 2002, *Sci.* 295, 93
- Bromm, V, Coppi, P & Larson, R, 2002, *ApJ* 564, 23
- Bromm, V & Loeb, A, 2002, *ApJ*, 575, 111
- Butler, NR, *et al.*, *ApJ*, subm (astro-ph//0303539)
- Ciardi, B & Loeb, A, 2000, *ApJ* 540, 687
- Fan, X *et al.*, 2001, *AJ*, 121, 54
- Gehrels, N, *et al.*, 2003, inv. talk at AAS/HEAD meeting 35, 31.05; <http://swift.gsfc.nasa.gov/>
- Henden, A. *et al.*, 2003, *GCN Circular* 2123
- Kallman T, Mészáros P & Rees MJ, 2003, *ApJ*, in press (astro-ph/0110654)
- Lamb, DQ & Reichart, D, 2000, *ApJ*, 536, 1
- Lazzati D; Campana S; Ghisellini G, 1999, *MNRAS*, 304, L31
- Lazzati D; Ramirez-Ruiz E & Rees MJ, 2002, *ApJ(Lett.)* 572, L57
- Loeb, A & Barkana, R, 2001, *Annu.Rev.Astron.Ap.*, 39, 19
- McLaughlin, GC; Wijers, RAMJ; Brown, GE; Bethe, HA, 2002, *ApJ* 567, 454
- Mészáros, P & Rees, MJ, 1998, *ApJ(Letters)*, 502, L105
- Mészáros, P & Rees, MJ, 2000, *ApJ*, 530, 292
- Mészáros, P & Rees, MJ, 2001, *ApJ(Letters)* 556, L37
- Miralda-Escudé, J, 2001, *ApJ(Letters)*, 528, L1
- Panaitescu A, Kumar P & Narayan R, 2001, *ApJ(Lett.)*, 561, L171
- Piro, L, 2002, in "GRB and Afterglow Astronomy 2001", Ed. Vanderspek R, in press (astro-ph/0203275)
- Rees, MJ & Mészáros, P, 2000, *ApJ(Letters)*, 545, L73
- Reeves, J *et al.*, 2002, *Nature*, 416, 512

- Rutledge, R & Sako, M, 2003, MNRAS 339, 600
- Schneider, R, Guetta, D & Ferrara, A, 2002, MNRAS, 334, 173
- Spergel, D, *et al.*, 2003, ApJ subm. (astro-ph/0302209)
- Stanek, K.Z., *et al.*, 2003, ApJ(Lett.) subm. (astro-ph/0304173)
- Vietri, M & Stella, L, 1999, ApJ 527, L43
- Vietri, M.; Ghisellini, G.; Lazzati, D.; Fiore, F.; Stella, L., 2001, ApJ(Letters) 550, L43
- Watson, D., *et al.*, 2002, A&A 393, L1
- Weth C, Mészáros P, Kallman T & Rees MJ, 2000, ApJ 534, 581
- Woosley S; Zhang W; Heger A, 2003, in “GRB and Afterglow Astronomy 2001”, Ed. Vanderspek R, in press (astro-ph/0206004)

Table 1: GRB afterglow properties in the observer frame for different redshifts. The columns give the redshift; the intergalactic H Ly α wavelenght, bluewards of which the Gunn-Peterson absorption sets in; the He II “thinning” energy E_t , bluewards of which intergalactic absorption becomes negligible and the continuum reemerges from the through; the Fe K α line energy; and the GRB afterglow continuum X-ray flux from equation (3) at six different observer times t after the trigger (in erg/cm²/s/keV). A decay $\propto t^{-1.1}$ is assumed after $t_i = 10(1+z)$ s, steepening to t^{-2} after $t_{br} = 0.5(1+z)$ days, for an initial source-frame 0.2-10 keV luminosity normalized to $L_x = 10^{50}$ erg/s at t_i . The latter is likely to be an underestimate at $z \gtrsim 6.5$, for which the fluxes might be 10-30 times higher than the values given in the table.

z	$\frac{\lambda_{\text{Ly}\alpha, \text{H}}}{\mu\text{m}}$	$\frac{E_t}{\text{keV}}$	$\frac{E_{\text{Fe, K}\alpha}}{\text{keV}}$	$F_E(10\text{s})$	$F_E(10^2\text{s})$	$F_E(10^3\text{s})$	$F_E(10^4\text{s})$	$F_E(10^5\text{s})$	$F_E(10^6\text{s})$
3	0.486	0.22	1.675	1.9^{-9}	6.8^{-10}	5.4^{-11}	4.3^{-12}	3.4^{-13}	2.2^{-14}
6.5	0.912	0.22	0.893	6.1^{-10}	4.4^{-10}	3.5^{-11}	2.8^{-12}	2.2^{-13}	1.8^{-14}
9.0	1.216	0.22	0.670	4.1^{-10}	4.1^{-10}	3.3^{-11}	2.6^{-12}	2.1^{-13}	1.6^{-14}
12	1.581	0.22	0.515	3.0^{-10}	3.0^{-10}	3.2^{-11}	2.5^{-12}	2.0^{-13}	1.6^{-14}
18	2.310	0.22	0.353	2.0^{-10}	2.0^{-10}	3.2^{-11}	2.6^{-12}	2.1^{-13}	1.6^{-14}
30	3.770	0.22	0.216	1.3^{-10}	1.3^{-10}	3.5^{-11}	2.8^{-12}	2.2^{-13}	1.8^{-14}